

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/621,182	07/16/2003	William t. Sanders	030454.00004 2357	
7590 12/29/2004			EXAMINER	
Henry S. Jaudon			ALI, MOHAMMAD M	
McNair Law Fi P.O. Box 10827	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Greenville, SC 29603			3744	
			DATE MAILED: 12/29/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/621,182	SANDERS, WILLIAM T.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mohammad Ali	3744				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 08 November 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appearamentation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appliced in a specifically a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three models.	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.5 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee afee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and the maining date of the initial reju	south, order it among most, may recurse any				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	s Brief must be filed within the p R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note to						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.				
NOTE:	,					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejections.		and the state of t				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	,					
Claim(s) allowed:	•					
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>21-23 and 27-32</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						
(Mu 12-21-04						

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The drawing has not been corrected showing every feature of the invention specified in the claims. See MPEP 608.02a for a drawing correction which is not required for examination purposes. Examiner requests further, 37 CFR 1.83(a) requires that the drawing must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. In this regard it is mentioned that The Applicant stated, "Actuator 72 is is connected in any convenient manner with control panel 36 and operates to actuate ice dispenser 46 to deliver ice through chute 68. An actuator 72 which may include a money changer, a coin receptacle and an actuating start button is provided for actuating ice dispenser 46 to deliver ice through chutes 58------" (see specification page 8, lines 21-23). This indicates that when the device includes some feature, the examiner has reason to believe that device may not include that particular feature unless it is clearly shown in the drawing. Therefore, The Examiner rightly objected to the drawing mentioning the legal requirement as above. Regarding the 112 rejection of claims 27-32, both the , the limitations, "set volume of ice and pre set volume of ice" lack definite meanings and do not indicate any definite volume. Therefore, they have been rejected accordingy. Regarding the two walls of claim 21, it is stated that the dispensing window 2003 is invariably a wall and the other wall can be found to cover the ice chute 2004 as shown in Fig. 17 and 23 and it is clear that there is a recess to come out of ice chute 2004 from inside the ice hopper 2028 and vend shutter 3301 and finally from the wall. Therefore, rejections are proper and remain.

CHERYL'J. TYLER
PRIMARY EXAMINER